

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

TIMOTHY JACKSON SEELEY,

Plaintiff,

No. CIV S-04-1800 DFL CMK P

vs.

THERESA A. SCHWARTZ, et al.,

Defendants.


ORDER

On June 28, 2005, plaintiff filed an “opposition to motion to dismiss CC-II Cry as a defendant.” That document was not served on defendants. Plaintiff is advised that every document submitted to the court for consideration must be served on defendants. Fed. R. Civ. P. 5. Documents not to be served electronically are usually served by placing a copy in the U.S. mail. If an attorney has filed a document with the court on behalf of any defendants, then documents submitted by plaintiff must be served on that attorney and not on the defendants. Every document submitted conventionally to the court (e.g., by a prisoner proceeding pro se) must include a certificate stating the date an accurate copy of the document was mailed to defendants or their attorney and the address to which it was mailed. See Local Rule 5-135(b) and (c).

////

1                   Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that plaintiff's June 28, 2005  
2 "opposition to motion to dismiss CC-II Cry as a defendant" is denied without prejudice. Plaintiff  
3 is cautioned that failure to properly serve any documents subsequently filed in this action, and  
4 failure to include a proper certificate of service with such filing, may result in a recommendation  
5 that this action be dismissed.

6 DATED: July 13, 2005.

7  
8   
9 **CRAIG M. KELLISON**  
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

10 /kf

11 seel1800.35